



CFP Evaluation Rubric - ICACIT Symposium 2025

Rubrics	5	4	3	2	1
Context: Rate the effectiveness of relating this work in demonstrating a strong knowledge of related and prior work. Rate and include specific suggestions of missing literature.	Excellent knowledge of related work that effectively relates to the contribution	Good, reasonably complete knowledge of related work; related to the contribution	Incomplete, but useful references to related work; reasonably connected to the contribution	Incomplete references and/or connection to the submission's contribution	Little or no reference to related work and/or context is disconnected to the submission's contribution
Significance: Rate and summarize how this submission is important and makes an important contribution to accreditation or engineering education.	Very important; of broad and/or significant impact	Of measurable impact and/or significance	Some impact and/or significance	Limited; Some interesting points	Very limited contribution
Relevance: Rate how and explain how the work advances frontiers in education within the context of ICACIT Symposium.	Highly relevant	Clearly appropriate and well focused	Appropriate and reasonably focused	Somewhat relevant, but not focused	Not relevant
Scholarly Quality: Rate and summarize how the submission demonstrates appropriate rigor and reflective depth when outlining the novel practice at their and other institutions. A high impact paper in this category is one that develops new and intriguing insights in the context of ongoing research, and/or presents preliminary analysis of empirical data.	The research is methodologically strong, theoretical foundation is good, and analysis / discussion are of high quality	Relevant theory and method are applied with some limitations	The submission uses theory and analysis methods though details are unclear in places	Theoretical underpinnings are weak and there are flaws in argument / analysis	The research appears to be poorly structured and the analysis/argument is hard to interpret
Language and Expression: Rate and assess the organization, language and English expression used in the submission.	Excellent, exemplary use of language enhancing the quality of the submission	Good, appropriate as is	Reasonable, may need some revision	Poor language, unlikely that it can be sufficiently improved	Very difficult to understand
REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: Please indicate your level of expertise related to the content of this submission.	Expert	High	Medium	Low	None
OVERALL EVALUATION: This should reflect the combination of the individual section's evaluations.	Accept		Accept with revisions		Reject