

ICACIT Symposium 2024

| Rubrics | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| Context: Rate the effectiveness of relating this work in demonstrating a strong knowledge of related and prior work. Rate and include specific suggestions of missing literature. | Excellent knowledge of related work that effectively relates to the contribution | Good, reasonably complete knowledge of related work; related to the contribution | Incomplete, but useful references to related work; reasonably connected to the contribution | Incomplete references and/or connection to the submission's contribution | Little or no reference to related work and/or context is disconnected to the submission's contribution |
| Significance: Rate and summarize how this submission is important and makes an important contribution to accreditation or engineering education. | Very important; of broad and/or significant impact | Of measurable impact and/or significance | • | Limited; Some interesting points | Very limited contribution |
| Relevance: Rate how and explain how the work advances frontiers in education within the context of ICACIT Symposium. | Highly relevant | Clearly appropriate and well focused | Appropriate and reasonably focused | Somewhat relevant, but not focused | Not relevant |
| Scholarly Quality: Rate and summarize how the submission demonstrates appropriate rigor and reflective depth when outlining the novel practice at their and other institutions. A high impact paper in this category is one that develops new and intriguing insights in the context of ongoing research, and/or presents preliminary analysis of empirical data. | | _ | methods though | underpinnings are weak and there are | The research appears to be poorly structured and the analysis/argument is hard to interpret |
| Language and Expression: Rate and assess the organization, language and English expression used in the submission. | Excellent, exemplary use of language enhancing the quality of the submission | Good, appropriate as is | Reasonable, may need some revision | Poor language, unlikely that it can be sufficiently improved | Very difficult to understand |
| REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: Please indicate your level of expertise related to the content of this submission. | Expert | High | Medium | Low | None |
| OVERALL EVALUATION: This should reflect the combination of the individual section's evaluations. | Accept | | Accept with revisions | | Reject |